arXiv:1408.6312v1 [math.CV] 27 Aug 2014

Further results on normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values

Xiao-Bin Zhang[a*] and Jun-Feng Xu[b]

[a]College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, China

[b]Department of Mathematics, Wuyi University, Jiangmen, Guangdong 529020, China

Abstract

In this paper, we prove two normality criteria for families of some functions concerning shared values, the results generalize those given by Hu and Meng. Some examples are given to show the sharpness of our results.

Keywords and phrases: Normal family; meromorphic function; shared value.

1 Introduction and main results

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex plane and $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the standard notation used in the Nevanlinna value distribution theory such as the characteristic function $T(r, f)$, the proximity function $m(r, f)$, the counting function $N(r, f)$ (see, e.g. [6, 16, 17]), and $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity that satisfies the condition $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \to \infty$ outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, a be a finite complex number, if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros (Ignoring multiplicities), then we say that f and g share a.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be normal in D, in the sense of Montel, if for any sequence $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a subsequence f_{n_j} such that f_{n_j} converges spherically locally uniformly in D, to a meromorphic function or ∞ (see [6, 17]).

According to Bloch's principle, every condition which reduces a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ to a constant, makes a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D normal. Although the principle is false in general, many authors proved normality criteria for families of meromorphic functions by starting from Picard type theorems. For instance,

Theorem A. [5] *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer, a, $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \neq 0$. If, for a meromorphic function f , $f' + af^n \neq b$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then f must be a constant.*

*Correspoding author: E-mail: xbzhang1016@mail.sdu.edu.cn(X.B. Zhang); xujunf@gmail.com(J.F. Xu)

Theorem B. [10, 11] *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer, a, $b \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D. If $f' + af^n \neq b$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a normal family.*

In 2008, Zhang [18] improved theorem B by the idea of shared values, he got

Theorem C. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in D, n be a positive integer and a, b be two constants such that $a \neq 0, \infty$ and $b \neq \infty$. If $n \geq 4$ and for each pair of functions f and $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $f' - af^n$ and $g' - ag^n$ share the value b, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

In 1998, Wang and Fang [13] proved

Theorem D. *Let k, $n \geq k+1$ be positive integers and f be a transcendental meromorphic function, then $(f^n)^{(k)}$ assumes every finite nonzero value infinitely often.*

Using the idea of shared values, Li and Gu [9] obtained a corresponding normality criteria.

Theorem E. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain D. Let k, $n \geq k+2$ be positive integers and $a \neq 0$ be a finite complex number. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share a in D for every pair of functions f, $g \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

In 2004, Alotaibi [1] got

Theorem F. *Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane. Let $a \not\equiv 0$ be a small function of f, then $af(f^{(k)})^n - 1$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Using the idea of shared values, Hu and Meng [8] obtained a corresponding normality criteria.

Theorem G. *Take positive integers n and k with n, $k \geq 2$ and take a nonzero complex number a. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in the plane domain D such that each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has only zeros of multiplicity at least k. For each pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$, if $f(f^{(k)})^n$ and $g(g^{(k)})^n$ share a, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

In 1996, Yang and Hu [15] got

Theorem H. *Take nonnegative integers $n, n_1, \cdots, n_k$ with $n \geq 1$, $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k \geq 1$ and define $d = n + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function with the deficiency $\delta(0, f) > 3/(3d+1)$. Then for any nonzero value c, the function $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has infinitely many zeros. Moreover, if $n \geq 2$, the deficient condition can be omitted.*

It's natural to ask whether there exists normality criteria corresponding to Theorem H. We consider this problem and obtain

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a \neq 0$ be a constant, $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, $n_k \geq 1$, n_j $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1)$ be nonnegative integers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in the plane domain D such that each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has only zeros of multiplicity at least k. For each pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$, if $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ and $g^n(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k}$ share a, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

Example 1.1. *Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_m\}$ where $f_m := e^{mz}$, and for every pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 0 in D, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at the point $z = 0$.*

Example 1.2. *Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_m\}$ where $f_m := mz + \frac{1}{mk(k+1)!}$. Then $(f_m^{k+1})^{(k)} = m^{k+1}(k+1)!z + 1$, and for every pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $(f^{k+1})^{(k)}$ and $(g^{k+1})^{(k)}$ share 1 in D, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at the point $z = 0$.*

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.1, let $k = n_k = 1$, then $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} = \frac{(f^{n+1})'}{n+1}$ and $g^n(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k} = \frac{(g^{n+1})'}{n+1}$, this case is a corollary of Theorem E. Examples 1.1 and 1.2 given by Li and Gu show that the condition $a \neq 0$ in Theorem E is inevitable and $n \geq k+2$ in Theorem E is sharp. The examples also show that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are sharp, at least for the case $k = n_k = 1$.

If $n = 1$, we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a \neq 0$ be a constant, $k > 2$, $n_k \geq 1$, n_j $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1)$ be nonnegative integers such that $n_1 + \cdots + n_{k-1} \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in the plane domain D such that each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has only zeros of multiplicity at least k. For each pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$, if $f(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ and $g(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k}$ share a, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

Remark 1.4. In Theorem 1.3, if $n_1 \geq 2$, the theorem still holds for $k = 2$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $a \neq 0$ be a constant, n, k, n_k be positive integers such that $nk \geq 2$ and n_j $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1)$ be nonnegative integers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of holomorphic functions in the plane domain D such that each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has only zeros of multiplicity at least k. For each pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$, if $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ and $g^n(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k}$ share a, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

Remark 1.6. Examples 1.2 shows that Corollary 1.5 fails if $n = k = 1$ and thus the condition $nk \geq 2$ in Corollary 1.5 is inevitable.

2 Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([12])**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc Δ, all of whose zeros have the multiplicity at least k, and suppose that there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ wherever $f(z) = 0, f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then if $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$:*
(a) a number r, $0 < r < 1$,
(b) points $z_n, |z_n| < r$,
(c) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, and
(d) positive numbers $\rho_n \to 0$
such that $\rho_n^{-\alpha} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) = g_n(\xi) \to g(\xi)$ locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $g(\xi)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k, such that $g^\sharp(\xi) \leq g^\sharp(0) = kA + 1$. In particular, if g is an entire function, it is of exponential type. Here, as usual, $g^\sharp(z) = |g'(z)|/(1+|g(z)|^2)$ is the spherical derivative.

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). *Let f be an entire function and M a positive integer. If $f^{\sharp}(z) \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then f has the order at most one.*

Lemma 2.3 ([19]). *Take nonnegative integers $n, n_1, \cdots, n_k$ with $n \geq 1$, $n_k \geq 1$ and define $d = n+n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k$. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function whose zeros have multiplicity at least k. Then for any nonzero value c, the function $f^n(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has infinitely many zeros, provided that $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{k-1} \geq 1$ and $k > 2$ if $n = 1$. Specially, if f is transcendental entire, the function $f^n(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Lemma 2.4. *Take nonnegative integers $n_1, \cdots, n_k, k$ with $n_k \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$, $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{k-1} \geq 1$ and define $d = 1 + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$. Let f be a non-constant rational function whose zeros have multiplicity at least k. Then for any nonzero value c, the function $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has at least two distinct zeros.*

Proof. We shall divide our argument into two cases.
Case 1. Suppose that $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has exactly one zero.
Case 1.1. If f is a non-constant polynomial, since the zeros of f have multiplicity at least k, we know that $f^n(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ is also a non-constant polynomial, so $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has at least one zero, suppose that

$$f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} = c + B(z - z_0)^l, \tag{2.1}$$

where B is a nonzero constant and $l > 1$ is an integer. Then (2.5) implies that $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ has only simple zeros, which contradicts the assumption that the zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k \geq 2$.

Case 1.2. If f is a non-constant rational function but not a polynomial. Set

$$f(z) = A\frac{(z-a_1)^{m_1}(z-a_2)^{m_2}\cdots(z-a_s)^{m_s}}{(z-b_1)^{l_1}(z-b_2)^{l_2}\cdots(z-b_t)^{l_t}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where A is a nonzero constant and $m_i \geq k\,(i = 1, 2, \cdots, s), l_j \geq 1\,(j = 1, 2, \cdots, t)$.
Then

$$f^{(k)}(z) = A\frac{(z-a_1)^{m_1-k}(z-a_2)^{m_2-k}\cdots(z-a_s)^{m_s-k}g_k(z)}{(z-b_1)^{l_1+k}(z-b_2)^{l_2+k}\cdots(z-b_t)^{l_t+k}}. \tag{2.3}$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_s = M \geq ks, \tag{2.4}$$

$$l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_t = N \geq t. \tag{2.5}$$

It is easily obtained that

$$\deg(g_k) \leq k(s + t - 1), \tag{2.6}$$

moreover, $g_k(z) = (M-N)(M-N-1)\cdots(M-N-k+1)z^{k(s+t-1)} + c_m z^{k(s+t-1)-1} + \cdots + c_0$.
Combining (2.6) and (2.7) yields

$$f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} = A^d \frac{(z-a_1)^{dm_1-\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j}\cdots(z-a_s)^{dm_s-\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j} g(z)}{(z-b_1)^{dl_1+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j}\cdots(z-b_t)^{dl_t+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j}} = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $P(z)$, $Q(z)$, $g(z)$ are polynomials and $g(z) = \prod_{j=1}^k g_j^{n_j}(z)$ with $\deg(g) \le \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j(s+t-1)$. Moreover $g(z) = (M-N)^{d-1}(M-N-1)^{d-1-n_1}\cdots(M-N-k+1)^{n_k} z^{\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j(s+t-1)} + d_m z^{\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j(s+t-1)-1} + \cdots + d_0$.
Then from (2.10) we obtain

$$(f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k})' = A^d \frac{(z-a_1)^{dm_1-\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j-1}\cdots(z-a_s)^{dm_s-\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j-1} h(z)}{(z-b_1)^{dl_1+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j+1}\cdots(z-b_t)^{dl_t+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j+1}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $h(z)$ is a polynomial with $\deg(h) \le (\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j + 1)(s+t-1)$.

Since $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has exactly one zero, we obtain from (2.11) that

$$f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k} = c + \frac{B(z-z_0)^l}{(z-b_1)^{dl_1+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j}\cdots(z-b_t)^{dl_t+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where B is a nonzero constant. Then

$$(f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k})' = \frac{B(z-z_0)^{l-1}H(z)}{(z-b_1)^{dl_1+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j+1}\cdots(z-b_t)^{dl_t+\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j+1}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $H(z)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$H(z) = B(l - dN - \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j t)z^t + B_{t-1}z^{t-1} + \cdots + b_0. \quad (2.11)$$

Case 1.2.1. If $l \ne dN + \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j t$.
From (2.11) we get $\deg(p) \ge \deg(Q)$, namely

$$dM - \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j s + \deg(g) \ge dN + \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j t. \quad (2.12)$$

In view of $\deg(g) \le \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j(s+t-1)$, we deduce from (2.16) that

$$d(M-N) \ge \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j, \quad (2.13)$$

which implies $M > N$.
Combining (2.12), (2.14) and (2.15) yields

$$dM - (\sum_{j=1}^k jn_j + 1)s \le \deg(H) = t \le N < M, \quad (2.14)$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j M - \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j s < s, \tag{2.15}$$

this together with (2.8) implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-j) n_j < 1. \tag{2.16}$$

which is a contradiction since $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{k-1} \geq 1$.

Case 1.2.2. If $l = dN + \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j t$.
If $M > N$, with similar discussion as above, we get the same contradiction.
If $M \leq N$, combining (2.12) and (2.14) yields

$$l - 1 \leq \deg(h) \leq (\sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j + 1)(s + t - 1), \tag{2.17}$$

we deduce from (2.21) that

$$dN \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j s + s + t - \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j < \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j s + s + N. \tag{2.18}$$

Note that $M \leq N$, from (2.22) we get

$$(d-1)M - \sum_{j=1}^{k} j n_j s < s. \tag{2.19}$$

Similar to the end of the proof in Case 1.2.1, we get a contradiction. Case 1 has been ruled out.

Case 2. Suppose that $f(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has no zero.
Similar to the proof of Case 1.1, we deduce that f is not a polynomial. If f is a non-constant rational function but not a polynomial. Similar to the proceeding of proof in Case 1.2.1, we get a contradiction.
Hence $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has at least two distinct zeros.
This proves Lemma 2.4.

Using the similar proof of Lemma 2.4, we get

Lemma 2.5. *Take nonnegative integers n, $n_1, \cdots, n_k, k$ with $n \geq 2$, $n_k \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$. Let f be a non-constant rational function whose zeros have multiplicity at least k. Then for any nonzero value c, the function $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has at least two distinct zeros.*

Lemma 2.6. *Take nonnegative integers n, $n_1, \cdots, n_k, k$ with $n \geq 1$, $n_k \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$. Let f be a non-constant polynomial whose zeros have multiplicity at least k. Then for any nonzero value c, the function $f^n(f')^{n_1} \cdots (f^{(k)})^{n_k} - c$ has at least two distinct zeros.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Without loss of generality, we may assume $D = \Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. For simplicity, we denote $f_j(z_j + \rho_j\xi)$ by f_j and set

$$\gamma_M = 1 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k, \quad \Gamma_M = \sum_{j=1}^{k} jn_j$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal in D. By Lemma 2.1, for $0 \leq \alpha < k$, there exist: $r < 1$, $z_j \to 0$ $(j \to \infty)$, $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\rho_j \to 0^+$ such that $g_j(\xi) = \rho_j^{-\Gamma_M/\gamma_M} f_j(z_j + \rho_j\xi) \to g(\xi)$ locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $g(\xi)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k, such that $g^\sharp(\xi) \leq g^\sharp(0) = kA + 1$.
On every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ which contains no poles of g, we have uniformly

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(f_j')^{n_1} \cdots (f_j^{(k)})^{n_k} - a &= g_j(\xi)(g_j'(\xi))^{n_1} \cdots (g_j^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k} - a \\ &\to g(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k} - a. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If $g^n(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k} \equiv a$, then g has no zeros and no poles, thus g is an entire function. By Lemma 2.2, g is of order at most 1. Moreover, $g(\xi) = e^{c_1\xi + c_0}$, where $c_1 (\neq 0)$ and c_0 are constants. Thereby, we get

$$g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k} = c_1^{\Gamma_M} e^{\gamma_M(c_1\xi + c_0)}, \tag{3.2}$$

which contradicts the case $g^n(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k} \equiv a$.
Since g is a non-constant meromorphic function, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we deduce that $g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k} - a$ has at least two distinct zeros.
We claim that $g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k} - a$ has just a unique zero.
Let ξ_0 and $\xi_0^\star$ be two distinct zeros of $g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k} - a$. We choose a positive number δ small enough such that $D_1 \bigcap D_2 = \emptyset$, and such that $g(g')^{n_1} \cdots (g^{(k)})^{n_k} - a$ has no other zeros in $D_1 \bigcup D_2$ except for ξ_0 and $\xi_0^\star$, where

$$D_1 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta\}, \quad D_2 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_0^\star| < \delta\}.$$

By (3.1) and Hurwitz's theorem, for sufficiently large j there exist points $\xi_j \in D_1$, $\xi_j^\star \in D_2$ such that

$$f_j(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j)(f_j'(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j))^{n_1} \cdots (f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j))^{n_k} - a = 0,$$

$$f_j(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j^\star)(f_j'(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j^\star))^{n_1} \cdots (f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j^\star))^{n_k} - a = 0.$$

By the assumption in Theorem 1.1, $f_1(f_1')^{n_1} \cdots (f_1^{(k)})^{n_k}$ and $f_j(f_j')^{n_1} \cdots (f_j^{(k)})^{n_k}$ share a for each j, it follows that

$$f_1(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j)(f_1'(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j))^{n_1} \cdots (f_1^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j\xi_j))^{n_k} - a = 0,$$

$$f_1(z_j+\rho_j\xi_j^\star)(f_1'(z_j+\rho_j\xi_j^\star))^{n_1}\cdots(f_1^{(k)}(z_j+\rho_j\xi_j^\star))^{n_k}-a=0.$$

Let $j\to\infty$, and note that $z_j+\rho_j\xi_j\to 0$, $z_j+\rho_j\xi_j^\star\to 0$, we get

$$f_1(0)(f_1'(0))^{n_1}\cdots(f_1^{(k)}(0))^{n_k}-a=0.$$

Since the zeros of $f_1(f_1')^{n_1}\cdots(f_1^{(k)})^{n_k}-a$ have no accumulation points, in fact, for sufficiently large j, we have

$$z_j+\rho_j\xi_j=0,\quad z_j+\rho_j\xi_j^\star=0.$$

Thus

$$\xi_j=-\frac{z_j}{\rho_j},\quad \xi_j^\star=-\frac{z_j}{\rho_j}.$$

This contradicts the fact that
$\xi_j\in D(\xi_0,\delta)$, $\xi_j^\star\in D(\xi_0^\star,\delta)$ and $D(\xi_0,\delta)\bigcap D(\xi_0^\star,\delta)=\emptyset$.
So $g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1}\cdots(g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k}-a$ has just a unique zero, which contradicts the fact that $g(\xi)(g'(\xi))^{n_1}\cdots(g^{(k)}(\xi))^{n_k}-a$ has at least two distinct zeros.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

By Theorem H, Lemmas 2.3, 2.5 and 2.6, the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.5 can be carried out in the line of Theorem 1.3, we omit the process here.

4 Discussion

In Theorem 1.3, if $n_1=n_2=\cdots=n_{k-1}=0$, then $f(f')^{n_1}\cdots(f^{(k)})^{n_k}=f(f^{(k)})^{n_k}$, $g(g')^{n_1}\cdots(g^{(k)})^{n_k}=g(g^{(k)})^{n_k}$. This case is the same as the case in Theorem G. Hu and Meng proved that Theorem G holds for the case $k\geq 2, n_k\geq 2$. They also gave an example [8, Example 1.3] to show that Theorem G is not valid for the case $k=1$. It's natural to ask whether Theorem 1.3 holds for the case $n_k=1$, $n_1+\cdots+n_{k-1}=0$. Actually, this is an open problem as follows.

Problem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D, let k be a positive integer and b be a finite nonzero value. If, for every $f\in\mathcal{F}$, all zeros of f have multiplicity at least k, and $f(z)f^{(k)}(z)\neq b$, is $\mathcal{F}$ normal in D?*

Xu and Cao [14] gave a partial answer to Problem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D, let k be a positive integer and b be a finite nonzero value. If, for every $f\in\mathcal{F}$, all zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k+1$, and $f(z)f^{(k)}(z)\neq b$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.*

References

[1] A. Alotaibi, On the zeros of $af(f^{(k)})^n-1$ for $n\geq 2$, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory., **4** (2004), 227–235.

[2] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana., **11** (1995), 355–373.

[3] H.-H. Chen and M.-L. Fang, On the value distribution of $f^n f'$. Sci. China Ser. A, **32** (1995), 789–798.

[4] J. Clunie and W.-K. Hayman, The spherical derivative of integral and meromorphic functions, Comment. Math. Helv., **40** (1966), 117–148.

[5] W.-K. Hayman, Picard value of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. Math. **70** (1959) 9–42.

[6] W.-K. Hayman: Meromorphic Functions, Oxford University Press, London, 1964.

[7] P.-C. Hu, P. Li and C.-C. Yang: Unicity of Meromorphic Mappings, Kluwer Academic Punlishers, Dordrecht, 2003.

[8] P.-C. Hu and D.-W. Meng, Normality criteria of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **357** (2009), 323–329.

[9] Y.-T. Li, Y.-X. Gu: On normal families of meromorphic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **354** (2009), 421–425.

[10] X.-C. Pang, Bloch's principle and normal criterion, Sci. China Ser. A, **32** (1989), 782–791.

[11] X.C. Pang: On normal criterion of meromorphic function. Sci. China Ser. A **33** (1990), 521–527.

[12] X.-C. Pang and L. Zalcman, Normal families and shared values, Bull. London. Math. Soc., **32** (2000), 325–331.

[13] Y.-F. Wang, M.-L. Fang, Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, Acta Math. Sinica N. S., **14** (1998), 17–26.

[14] J.-F. Xu and W.-S. Cao, Some normality criteria of meromorphic functions, J. Inequal. Appl., (2010), Article ID 926302, 10 pages.

[15] C.-C. Yang and P.-C. Hu, On the value distribution of $ff^{(k)}$, Kodai Math. J., **19** (1996), 157–167.

[16] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 2003.

[17] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[18] Q.-C. Zhang, Normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **338** (2008), 545–551.

[19] X.-B. Zhang and J.-F. Xu, Normality criteria of Lahiri's type and their applications. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2011, Article ID 873184, 16 pages.